UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/590,646	06/08/2000	Vernon M. Williams	4210US (99-0173)	1022
	7590 01/24/2007	EXAMINER MITCHELL, JAMES M		
Joseph A Walk Trask Britt & R				
P O Box 2550 Salt Lake City,	UT 84110	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Dake City,	0101110	2813		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONTHS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/590,646		WILLIAMS ET AL				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		James M. M		2813				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication app	ears on the d	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event will apply and will a cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the betien to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>16 Oc</i>	ctober 2006.					
· -	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
·	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
- /	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-69 is/are pending in the	application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,9,32,38,</u>	• •	s/are withdra	awn from consideration	on.			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10-31,33-37,39-53</u>	and 55-69	is/are reiect	ed.		•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election red	uirement.				
	on Papers			•				
	•		_					
•	The specification is objected to by the			1 - L.: 4 4 L 4 L F	•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	-		•				
	Applicant may not request that any obje			, in the second		<u>. </u>		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority _. u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
а)[a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
						•		
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	· _	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/590,646 Page 2

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's remarks filed October 16, 2006.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Since applicant provided a copy of the IDS filed January 25, 2002, the copy has been signed and attached with this office action. However, there is no copy available of applicant's IDS filed May 30, 2001. A request has been made by examiner for the paper file to be checked and for the IDS filed May 30, 2001 to be scanned so that an electronic copy is available for review.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10-25, 26-31, 33-37, 39-49, 51-53, 55-66 and 67-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. There is no structural limitation as claimed to inform one of ordinary skill in the art to the metes and bounds of a jacket¹ in the context of male and female sockets.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

¹ In addition, applicant in his remarks indicated that a jacket is not disclosed citing only that the reference discloses a ring and pedestal. Applicant needs to affirmatively distinguish between the claimed jacket and ring.

Art Unit: 2813

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 6. Claim 1, 2, 4-8, 10-24, 26-31, 33-37, 39-49, 51-53, 55-65 and 67- 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Subrahmanyan et al. (U.S. 5,411,400).
- 7. Subrahmanyan (Fig. 1, 8, 9) disclose:

(cl. 1, 22, 26, 27-30, 35, 36, 47, 48, 64, 65, 67, 68) a conductive structure for connecting a contact pad of a semiconductor device/flip-chip/CSP or semiconductor die with bond pads arranged in an array on a surface thereof and a contact-pad of a substrate/carrier substrate (e.g. carries chip), comprising: a female member/2nd member (42) configured to be secured to the contact pad (23) of one of the semiconductor device (11) and the substrate (13/22), said female member having: a jacket (e.g., understood to mean any outer surface of a member, e.g. 34) with an aperture/ receptacle (e.g. terminal, 32 inserted in; not labeled) configured to be located over the contact pad; and a conductive center partially filling² said aperture (e.g. member with a recess/aperture with bottom) that with an upper portion of said aperture being open (Fig. 9); and a male member/1st member (32) configured to be secured to the corresponding contact pad of the other of the substrate (22) and the semiconductor device, said male

² The partially filling limitations do not further distinguish the prior art from the claimed invention, because the structural features imparted is simply a member that has an aperture with a bottom. Furthermore, the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Application/Control Number: 09/590,646

Art Unit: 2813

member having: a jacket having an end (e.g. surface of 37) configured complementarily to said upper portion of said aperture of said jacket of said female member (32; Fig. 9), said jacket having an aperture configured to be located over the corresponding contact pad; and a conductive center substantially filling said aperture (see footnote 1); (cl. 2, 52) wherein said aperture of said jacket of said female member (42) is configured to partially limit insertion of said male member thereinto (e.g. bottom & sides of 42 limit; see e.g. Fig. 9);

(cl. 4, 13, 33, 39, 51, 55) the aperture tapers inwardly³ (aperture portion of 39; Fig. 8, 9); (cl. 5) an outer surface of jacket (37) of said male member is configured to partially limit insertion of said male member into said aperture of said jacket of said female member (Fig. 9);

(cl. 6, 7, 34, 36, 56) wherein said outer surface is tapered (e.g. portion of 37 next to 34) and like a cone so therefore frustoconical;

(cl. 8, 31, 49, 53) wherein said jacket of said male member has an end (e.g. tip of 37 closest to 29) portion with a smaller periphery than a base portion of said jacket (e.g. wide portion of 37; See Fig. 1, top-down view)

(cl 12) said aperture of said jacket of said female member is configured to facilitate alignment of said male member and said female member (Fig. 1);

³ Note, although shown in the prior art, even if the feature were not shown, they still would be considered obvious in light of any standard chip socket (e.g. Kardon, U.S. 5,418,471) since applicant has not disclosed that his claimed shapes are unobvious or otherwise critical. See M.P.E.P 2144.04[R-1]

Application/Control Number: 09/590,646

Art Unit: 2813

(cl. 14) wherein an outer surface of said jacket of said male member is configured to facilitate alignment of said male member and said female member (e.g. shape of hole aligned also with shape of jacket (Fig. 1);

Page 5

- (cl. 15) wherein said outer surface tapers outward-from said-end (e.g. tip of 37) to a base portion (e.g. widest portion of 37) thereof;
- (cl 16) and the outer surface (37) is cone shape and therefore frustoconical;
- (cl. 21, 44) wherein at least one of said conductive centers comprises a solder, a metal, or a metal (Col. 2-3, Lines 66-1);
- (cl. 37) wherein an upper portion (e.g. outermost portion of 39) of said aperture has a larger periphery than a base portion of said aperture (e.g. where 29 meets 34); (cl. 69) wherein the semiconductor is a semiconductor (Col. 3, Lines 19-20).
- 8. Subrahmanyan does not disclose its male and female connector comprised of multiple pieces or the claimed materials⁴ for it female member,
- 9. However, applicant has not disclosed that his breaking a known structure into multiple pieces produces unexpected results or otherwise critical. As such, the forming the individual elements such as jacket and conductive center from an integral component would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, since it has been held that making integral items separable or visa-versa is prima facie obviousness. <u>Cf.</u>, <u>In re Larson</u>, 340 F.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965) (A claim to a fluid transporting vehicle was rejected as obvious over a prior art reference which differed

⁴ Examiner recommends that applicant clearly claim that the material is separate and distinct/different from the remaining portion of the female body. Thereby, bringing to light that the jacket and center conductive portion of either the male or female portions are different. This would distinguish applicant's invention over cited prior art.

Art Unit: 2813

from the prior art in claiming a brake drum integral with a clamping means, whereas the brake disc and clamp of the prior art comprise several parts rigidly secured together as a single unit. The court affirmed the rejection holding, among other reasons, "that the use of a one piece construction instead of the structure disclosed in [the prior art] would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice."), See M.P.E.P§2144.04.

- 10. With respect to the selection of the claimed material, the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination in *Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp.*, 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). See M.P.E.P. §2144.07.
- 11. Claim 25 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Subrahmanyan et al. (U.S. 5,411,400) in combination with Abe et al. (U.S. 5,646,442).
- 12. Subrahamanyan discloses the same invention as claimed in paragraphs 7-10 of this office action except that his chip package is cylindrical instead of a BGA, Abe (Fig. 2A, 4) shows that for a socket connection terminals that cylindrical terminals are equivalent to BGA structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two contact structures are art recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute Ball type terminals for cylindrical ones.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/590,646

Art Unit: 2813

Conclusion

Page 7

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ex. Mitchell January/2

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800